PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To: NICHOLAS PIRAMAL INDIA LIMITED NICHOLAS PIRAMAL TOWER PENISULA CORPORATE PARK GANPATRAO KADAM MARG, LOWER PAREL MUMBAI (MAHARASHTRAI, INDIA 400 013	PCT NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION (PCT Rule 44.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) 0 9 FEB 2006				
Applicant's or agent's file reference NPTC 05	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below				
International application No. PCT/IB05/51103	International filing date (day/month/year) 04 April 2005 (04.04.2005)				
Applicant NICHOLAS PIRAMAL INDIA LIMITED					
The applicant is hereby notified that the international search report and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority have been established and are transmitted herewith. Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19: The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claims of the international application (see Rule 46):					
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is normally two months from the date of transmittal of the international search report.					
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Facsimile No.: (41-22) 338.82.70.					
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.					
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.					
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:					
the protest together with the decision thereon has been transmitted to the International Bureau together with the applicant's request to forward the texts of both the protest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.					
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the applicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.					
4. Reminders Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the international application will be published by the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International Bureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, before the completion of the technical preparations for international publication.					
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the written opinion of the International Searching Anthority to the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of such comments to all designated Offices unless an international preliminary examination report has been or is to be established. These comments would also be made available to the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date.					
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of some designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.					
	In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19 months.				
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US	Authorized officer				

P.O. Box 1450

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Form PCT/IŞA/220 (January 2004)

Mail Stop PCT, Atln: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents

Michele Flood

Telephone No. 571-272-1600

(See notes on accompanying sheet)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

International application No. PERMANAL INDIA LIMITED This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This international search report has been prepared by this International Bureau. This international search report consists of a total of	Applicant's or agent's file reference NPTC 05	FOR FURTHER see Form PCI/ISA/220 ACTION as well as, where applicable, item 5 below.				
This international search report has been prepared by this International Searching Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 18. A copy is being transmitted to the International Bureau. This international search report consists of a total of						
This international search report consists of a total of	1	IMITED				
a. With regard to the language, the international search was carried out on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed. a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) b. With regard to any nuclectide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Eox No. I. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II) Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III) With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: With regard to the abstract, the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. With regard to the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	This international search report consists of	transmitted to the International Bureau. of a total ofsheets.				
of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)) b. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, see Fox No. I. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II) Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III) With regard to the ittle, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Anthority to read as follows: S. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. :ss suggested by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	a. With regard to the language, the	application in the language in which it was file				
2. Certain claims were found unsearchable (See Box No. II) 3. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III) 4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. : as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	of a translation fu	mished for the purposes of international search	ch (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b))			
3. Unity of invention is lacking (See Box No. III) 4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 2. se suggested by the applicant. 4. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. 4. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.			the international application, see Fox No. I.			
4. With regard to the title, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: 5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 2.25 suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.		unsearchable (See Box No. II)				
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established by this Authority to read as follows: With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. :es suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.		g (See Box No. III)				
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 2. as suggested by the applicant. 3. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. 3. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.		itted by the annlicant				
5. With regard to the abstract, the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawlings, a. the figure of the drawlings to be published with the abstract is Figure No : ss suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No ess suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.		by this Authority to read as follows:				
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No ess suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.		•				
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No ess suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No ess suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No ess suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No ess suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.						
the text is approved as submitted by the applicant. the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No ess suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	•		•			
the text has been established, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant may, within one month from the date of mailing of this international search report, submit comments to this Authority. 6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No 2. Es suggested by the applicant. 3. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. 4. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	5. With regard to the abstract,					
6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.		· •				
6. With regard to the drawings, a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No as suggested by the applicant. as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	may, while the month from	according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Authority at the date of mailing of this international search	as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant report, submit comments to this Authority.			
as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	6. With regard to the drawings.					
as selected by this Authority, because the applicant failed to suggest a figure. as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.	and rigure of the drawings to be p	uonsned with the abstract is Figure No.	-			
as selected by this Authority, because this figure better characterizes the invention.						
b. none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.	as selected by this A	umority, because the applicant failed to sugges	st a figure.			
o none of the figures is to be published with the abstract.	as selected by this A	utnority, because this figure better characterize	es the invention.			
DCT/ICA (010 /C)	orm PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)	blished with the abstract.				

			_				
INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPO		RT		International application No.			
	<u> </u>			PCT/IB05/51103			
IPC(7) US CL	IPC(7) : A61K 35/78						
B. FIEL	DS SEARCHED						
Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols) U.S.: 424/725							
Documentati	on searched other than minimum documentation to the	ne extent that s	uch docum	ents are included i	in the fields scarched		
Electronic da WEST, STN	ata base consulted during the international search (na	me of data base	and, whe	re practicable, sear	rch terms used)		
C. DOC	UMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	······································					
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where	appropriate, of	the releva	nt passages	Relevant to claim No.		
Y	KUMAR, V. et al. Diuretic Activity of Herbal Net	roliv and Fruse	mide in N	ormal Rats.	1-17		
Phytomedica. 2002, Vol. 3, pages 73-76, see entire document. Y MEHER, S. K. et al. Nephroprotective effect of NEFROLIV (trademark) in Gentamicin Induced Nephrotoxicity. Phytomedica. 2001, Vol. 2, No. 1-2, pages 41-47, see entire document.				1-17			
Y	THATTE, U. M. et al. Immunotherapeutic Modific Bacteremia by Tinospora cordifolia. Journal of Pos I, pages 13-15, especially page 14.	1-7 and 12-16					
Y THATTE, U. M. et al. Immunotherapeutic modification of experimental infections by Indain Medicinal Plants. Phytotherapy Research. 1989, Vol. 3, No. 2, pages 43-49 US 6,274,595 B1 (YOUNG et al.) 14 August 2001 (14.08.2001), especially Column 4, lines 16-33. US 5,486,160 (ROSSI et al.) 23 January 1996 (23.01.1996), column 3, line 56 to column 4, line 7.					1-4 and 7 7 and 8 7-11		
Further	documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.	ГТ1 ₋ -			·		
	pocial estergaries of cited documents:		-	mily annex.			
1	defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention					
l.	lication or patent published on or after the international filing date which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to	ça	exect perspise	ticular relevance; the clai I or cannot be considered ant is taken alone	med invention cannot be to involve an inventive step		
establish (i specified) "O" document	ne publication dute of mother citation or other special reason (as reforming to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art					
priority dat		"&" document mamber of the same patent family					
	tual completion of the international search	Date of mail	ing of the i	international search	h report		
02 October 2005 (02.10.2005)		09 FEB 2006					
wame and mail	iling address of the ISA/US Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US	Authorized o	fficer		0.0		
Con	missioner for Patents	Michele Floo	od C	Janice	The		
Alexa	Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450 Telephone No. 571, 272, 1600						
racsimile.No.	(703) 305-3230	<u> </u>	,		1101		

Form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) (April 2005)